## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

## LATHAM & WATKINS

PAUL R. WATKINS (1899-1973) DANA LATHAM (1898-1974)

CHICAGO OFFICE
SEARS TOWER, SUITE 5800
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606
TELEPHONE (312) 876-7700
FAX (312) 993-9767

LONDON OFFICE
ONE ANGEL COURT
LONDON EC2R 7HJ ENGLAND
TELEPHONE + 44-171-374 4444
FAX + 44-171-374 4460

LOS ANGELES OFFICE
633 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 4000
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071-2007
TELEPHONE (213) 485-1234
FAX (213) 891-8763

MOSCOW OFFICE
113/1 LENINSKY PROSPECT, SUITE C200
MOSCOW 117198 RUSSIA
TELEPHONE + 7-503 956-5555
FAX + 7-503 956-5556

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W., SUITE 1300

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-2505

TELEPHONE (202) 637-2200

FAX (202) 637-2201 TLX 590775 ELN 62793269

December 13, 1995

CARTE OR LATE FILED

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE NEWARK CENTER NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07101-3174 TELEPHONE (201) 639-1234

TELEPHONE (201) 639-1234
FAX (201) 639-7298
NEW YORK OFFICE

885 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 1000 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022-4802 TELEPHONE (212) 906-1200 FAX (212) 751-4864

ORANGE COUNTY OFFICE 650 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 2000 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626-1925 TELEPHONE (714) 540-1235 FAX (714) 755-8290

SAN DIEGO OFFICE
701 "B" STREET, SUITE 2100
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-8197
TELEPHONE (619) 236-1234
FAX (619) 696-7419

SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE 505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1900 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-2562 TELEPHONE (415) 391-0600 FAX (415) 395-8095

DEC 1 3 1075

## HAND DELIVERED

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

EX PARTE NOTICE

Revision of Rules and Policies for the Direct Broadcast Satellite

Service (the "DBS Rulemaking")

IB Docket No. 95-168
PP Docket No. 93-253

Dear Mr. Caton:

This letter is written on behalf of DIRECTV, Inc. and concerns the relationship between the above-captioned proceeding and the Commission's <u>Second Annual Report</u> in CS Docket No. 95-61, FCC 95-491, released December 11, 1995 (the "<u>Second Report</u>").

In the <u>Second Report</u>, the Commission reported to Congress on the status of competition in the market for the delivery of video programming. The Commission's conclusions are extremely relevant to its deliberations in the above-captioned <u>DBS</u> <u>Rulemaking</u> proceeding. DIRECTV hereby requests that the <u>Second Report</u> be formally included in and made a part of the record in the <u>DBS</u> Rulemaking.

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission December 13, 1995 Page 2

In its comments in the <u>DBS Rulemaking</u>, DIRECTV has shown that the imposition of a spectrum aggregation rule which would not allow it, as an independent DBS operator, to bid for the full-CONUS DBS spectrum at 110° would not be in the public interest. DIRECTV showed that the proposed spectrum aggregation rule would have the anticompetitive effect of treating cable television-affiliated companies, who exercise market power in the MVPD market, more favorably than independent MVPDs such as DIRECTV, which do not exercise market power.

In response, the cable-affiliated interests attempted to paint a picture of a fully competitive MVPD marketplace. The <u>Second Report</u>, however, clearly and convincingly rebuts that argument.

First, in massively understated terms, the <u>Second Report</u> notes that: "[W]e cannot conclude that a competitive market currently exists for the delivery of video programming." <u>Second Report</u> at  $\P$  9.

More analytically, the Commission concludes:

"Last year, we found that local markets for providing multichannel video programming were highly concentrated, and that most consumers could not choose the services of an MVPD other than the local cable operator. Although providers of DBS and MMDS services have increased their subscribership since last year . . . the combined national market share of non-cable MVPDs at the end of September 1995 was slightly less than nine percent. Thus, on average, we expect that most local markets as measured by current subscribership continue to remain highly concentrated . . . . Using total numbers of subscribers as a measure of market share, the average HHI in local markets for video programming would be over 8650, or more than four times as high as the threshold at which a market may be considered 'highly concentrated.'"

Ifootnotes omitted

## Second Report at ¶ 132.

If competition in the MVPD marketplace and bringing the benefits of competition to consumers is truly the Commission's goal in the DBS Rulemaking, to bar an

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission December 13, 1995 Page 3

independent DBS provider from bidding for the 110° spectrum, while at the same time allowing members of the cable industry to bid, makes no legal or policy sense. DIRECTV urges the Commission to revise its proposed structural rule so as not to exclude DIRECTV or any other entity without market power from applying for DBS spectrum at 110°.

Very truly yours,

Gary M. Epstein

Counsel for DIRECTV, INC.

cc: Chairman Reed E. Hundt
Commissioner James H. Quello
Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett
Commissioner Susan Ness
Commissioner Rachelle B. Chong
Scott Blake Harris
William Wiltshire
Jim Olson
Julius Genachowski
Susan O'Connell
Lisa Smith

Mary P. McManus

Jane E. Mago